



**RE: sediment standard call**  
**Matthew Szelag** to: Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)

01/06/2011 10:38 AM

Cheryl,

I found our Alaska rule letter to Ecology that explains which portions of the SMS we considered to be WQS at that time. Certain portions of section 5 of the SMS fall into both categories -- WQS and non-WQS. It would be helpful to know which portions of the SMS that Ecology is considering revising. Would you be able to find out that information? It's not to say we cannot change our mind if we no longer think certain portions of section 5 are WQS (if we have good basis to do so), but it is important information to determine the appropriate starting point.

Thanks,



docket letter to Ecology.doc

Matthew Szelag  
US EPA Region 10  
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Phone: 206-553-5171

"Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)"

Thank you Jannine!

01/05/2011 01:53:12 PM

From: "Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)" <cnie461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
To: Jannine Jennings/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/05/2011 01:53 PM  
Subject: RE: sediment standard call

Thank you Jannine!

Matt – I am leaving in 10 minutes – will try to catch you tomorrow morning to explain more fully what the issue is....

---

Cheryl A. Niemi  
Surface Water Quality Standards Specialist  
Department of Ecology  
P.O. Box 47600  
Olympia WA 98504  
360.407.6440  
cheryl.niemi@ecy.wa.gov

**From:** Jennings.Jannine@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Jennings.Jannine@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 05, 2011 1:51 PM  
**To:** Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)  
**Cc:** Szelag.Matthew@epamail.epa.gov  
**Subject:** sediment standard call

Cheryl,

I received your phone message and forwarded it to Matt. I think he may be better able to answer the question so why don't you call him. I've let him know that I'm tossing the ball his way but that he can confer and discuss with me if needed.

I hope we can provide you with a definitive enough answer to help move the internal debate to conclusion.

Jannine